

<b>Costed Evaluation Plan Zimbabwe</b>						
Programme Cycle:	Government of Zimbabwe/ UNFPA Eighth Country Programme Document (2022-2026)					
Evidence utilized in the design of the costed plan	The eighth UNFPA country programme was informed by the 7 <sup>th</sup> Country Programme Evaluation, the Common Country Assessment, a review of Joint Programme Documents, Evaluation of the UNFPA support to the HIV response (2016-2019) and the development of the United Nations Sustainable Development Cooperation Framework.					
CP areas	Outcomes 1, 3, and 4.					
<b>Evaluations</b>						
<b>Exercise title and type</b>	<b>Purpose of the Exercise</b>	<b>Start and end date (Month, Year)</b>	<b>Estimated Cost \$<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Source of Funding</b>	<b>Key partners while conducting the exercise</b>	<b>Is it a joint exercise?</b>
<b>UNFPA/GoZ 8<sup>th</sup> Country Programme (2022- 2026) Evaluation</b>	To assess the progress of the 8th CP towards achieving the CP outputs and outcomes, analyse factors that facilitated or hindered achievement and document lessons learned to provide input to the 9th Country Programme. The Evaluation criteria will cover relevance, efficiency, effectiveness and sustainability in line with the UNEG guidance.	March-October 2025	\$120,000	Core Resources	Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning, Ministry of Health and Child Care, Ministry of Women Affairs, Community, Small and Medium Enterprises Development, Ministry of Youth, Ministry of Primary and Secondary Education, Zimbabwe Statistical Agency (ZIMSTAT), National AIDS Council, Zimbabwe National Family Planning Council, Zimbabwe Youth Council, RCO, UN Agencies, Development Partners	No
<b>HRSF End Term Evaluation</b>	Assess programme performance and achievement of planned results for the Health Development Fund Programme.	March – October 2025	\$50,000 (UNFPA Contribution)	Non-Core Resources	Implementing Partners, UNCT, Government Ministries, Development Partners, Civil Society Organisations and other stakeholders.	Yes
<b>Spotlight End Term Evaluation</b>	Assess programme performance and achievement of planned results for the Spotlight Programme.	March – October 2022	\$50,000 (UNFPA Contribution)	Non-Core Resources	Implementing Partners, UNCT, Government Ministries, Development Partners, Civil Society Organisations and other stakeholders.	Yes

<sup>1</sup> The budget of the evaluation plan should be commensurate to the overall budget of the country programme

<b>UNSDCF End Term Evaluation</b>	Assess programme performance and achievement of planned results. The Evaluation criteria will cover relevance, efficiency, effectiveness and sustainability. The review and evaluation will also examine the DaO and contribution of the UN Agencies to the UNSDCF	March – October 2025	\$50,000 (UNFPA will contribute to the Evaluation)	Core and Non-Core Resources.	RCO, UN Agencies, development partners, Ministry of Finance and other Government Ministries, Civil Society organisations.	Yes
<b>TOTAL BUDGET</b>	<b>\$270,000</b>					

Evaluative activities (not evaluations)						
Exercise title and type	Purpose of the Exercise	Start and end date (Month, Year)	Estimated Cost \$ <sup>2</sup>	Source of Funding	Key partners while conducting the exercise	Is it a joint exercise?
UN coherence in evaluation	Participation and engagement in Evaluation, review of SDGs based on evidence informed by country led evaluations	April 2022	30,000	Core Resources	RCO, UN Agencies, development partners, Ministry of Finance and other Government Ministries, ZIMSTAT, Civil Society organisations.	Yes
Internal capacity development activity on evaluation	Strengthen capacity of CO staff on RBM and Evaluation	March 2022	10,000	Core Resources	CO Staff	No
National Evaluation Capacity Development on evaluation	Support implementation of the National Monitoring and Evaluation Policy to strengthen national evaluation capacities for country led evaluations	Jan 2022 – Dec 2022	30,000	Core Resources	RCO, UN Agencies, development partners, Ministry of Finance and other Government Ministries, ZIMSTAT, Civil Society organisations.	Yes
<b>Total Cost</b>			<b>70,000</b>			

<sup>2</sup> The budget of the evaluation plan should be commensurate to the overall budget of the country programme

**A. Template for the technical review of the costed evaluation plan by the Evaluation Office**

The table below contains the format to be utilized by the Evaluation Office for the technical review of costed evaluation plans.

General comments on the evaluation plan:	
Name of Country:	
Programme cycle:	
Region:	
<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Assessment</b>
Appropriateness of format including: Evaluation title, purpose of evaluation, timeline including start and end date, estimated cost, source of funding, key evaluation partners	
Articulation with evidence from other evaluations or exercises to prepare the plan and evidence of mapping of information needs to be addressed by plan	
Articulation of purpose of the proposed evaluations	
Number and nature of evaluations foreseen taking into consideration the budget of CPD and programmatic portfolio	
Adequacy of estimated cost of each evaluation	
Adequacy of expected duration of each evaluation	
Timeliness of the exercises to provide input to the next CPD design and programming	